## 1. Explain the effects of the actions taken by governance networks on the strategies of the various entities and actors mentioned in Keck et al. Mention whether they were successful or not. Explain the following:

* **Local indigenous community interactions**
* **IGOs interactions**
* **INGOs interactions**
* **The country of Malaysia interactions**
* **Any other actors or entities that you believe were important**

Keck et al., in chapter 65 of The Globalization Reader, presented the many strategies implemented by various entities and actors revolving the deforestation and logging dilemma in Sarawak, Malaysia. The first form of action taken was by the Dayak communities, in which resistance in the form of physical barricades were put up to slow down and block logging roads in Baram. According to Keck, “
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## 2. In your option, did “global culture” or “global society” influence the strategies of actors and entities involved in the particular events and outcomes that occurred in Sarawak, Malaysia?

* **Please define “global culture” and “global society”**
* **Provide examples to support your argument**

References

1. Dennis, Evan (2023). Lectures. CCNY.
2. Steger, M. B. (2020). *Globalization: A very short introduction* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

## 3. In your opinion, did networks of governance erode the power of the nation-state of Malaysia with respect to the problem of Sarawak deforestation? Why or why not?
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